# NRC Ratings of Doctoral Programs: An Update

Steven W. Matson

Dean, the Graduate School



#### What is the NRC Assessment?

- A study to assess the quality <u>and</u> <u>characteristics</u> of research doctorate programs at institutions in the US
  - The last NRC rankings were provided in 1995
  - Methodology used in this study is quite different and more robust
- 222 institutions participating
  - Data for over 5000 PhD granting programs



### **UNC-CH Participation**

- UNC-Chapel Hill has 54 programs participating in the NRC study
  - Programs evenly split among the disciplines
    - arts and humanities, life sciences, physical sciences, mathematics and engineering, and the social and behavioral sciences
- Base year for analysis/reporting was AY 2005-06
  - The ratings will be 'dated' by the time they are published



#### Data Collection

- Four questionnaires used to collect data
  - Institutional Questionnaire: completed by OIRA and GS
  - Program Questionnaire: response rate = 100%
  - Faculty Questionnaire: 1,352 Core and New faculty were invited to participate in the faculty surveys
    - Responses generated the pool for the secondary survey on discipline ratings and priorities
    - Response rate 82%
  - Student Questionnaire: 143 advanced doctoral students participated in the student surveys
    - Four fields: English, Economics, Physics, and Neurobiology
    - Data not included in the ratings



### When will we see the data?

- The results have been promised for months (years)
- Methodology guide released in July, 2009
  - OIRA is reviewing the guide as well as our campus data to generate basic analyses
- Target release of ratings data and original plan:
  - "By the end of the year"
  - "Shortly before the assessment is released" to the public, the campus will receive program data, weighting information, and our own ratings by program
  - Institutions may be informed a month in advance of when the 72 hour mark will occur
  - We are working with News Services on communication strategies to have a consistent campus message and with OIRA on data analyses and distribution



### Rating based on 20 variables

- Publications per allocated faculty member (from ISI plus books from CV's in humanities)
- Citations per publication (from ISI and only for fields outside the humanities)
- Number of grants per allocated faculty member (from the faculty questionnaire)
- Interdisciplinarity as measured by the percent of associated faculty, as well as the yes/no response to the question about whether the program is interdisciplinary
- Percent of non-Asian minority core or new faculty
- Percent of female core or new faculty
- Honors and awards per allocated faculty member
- Average GRE score for 2004-06 (verbal for humanities, quantitative for all other disciplines)
- Percent of students with full support in the 1st year
- Percent of first year students with external funding



## Rating based on 20 variables

- Percent of non-Asian minority students
- Percent female students
- Percent international students
- Average annual PhDs graduated 2002-2006
- Average percent of a cohort completing (8 years for humanities, 6 years for others)
- Median Time to degree for full- and part-time students
- % PhDs with definite plans for an academic position, 2001-05 (including academic post-docs), based on the Survey of Earned Doctorates
- Student Work Space (1=100% of students w/workspace, -1 if<100% students w//workspace)</li>
- Health insurance (1=provides health insurance, -1=does not)
- Student Activities (number offered from a list of 18)



# Weighting for variables is customized for each discipline

	Combined	Coefficient						
	Coefficients	Contribution						
Prog ID			13572	21412	26129	31266	51916	56669
Pubs per alloc fac	0.168	17.50%	1.4	2.1	3	3.7	2.2	3.1
Cites per pub	0.111	11.60%	2.6	4.7	1.1	3.9	2.7	3.2
Grants per alloc fac	0.131	13.70%						
% fac interdisc	0.043	4.50%						
% non-Asian Minority		0						
% female		0						
awds per allo fac	0.056	5.90%						
avg GRE Q	0.076	7.90%						
% 1st year stdts w/full supp	0.03	3.10%						
% 1st yr stdts w/portables		0	These	cells w	ould all	l be fille	d in as	above
% non-Asian Minority stdts		0	with the data for each institution's					
% female stdt		0						
% int'l		0			proc	ram		
Avg Phds 02-06	0.148	15.50%						
% compl w/in 6	0.033							
TTD	0.03	3.10%						
% stdts Acad pos	0.022	2.30%						
Stdt work space		0						
Health insurance	0.02	2.10%						
activities offered	0.088	9.20%						

Program in Chemistry. In this example almost 60% of the rating is determined by 4 variables: publications/faculty, citations/pub, grants/faculty, and program size



#### Results

- The NRC results will provide a range of ratings for each program, as well as subdimensions for the areas of research and scholarly productivity, student support and outcomes, and diversity
- Programs will identify variables that make the largest contribution to the overall rating or dimension and compare their data to that of similar programs

# Reporting Ranges of Ratings for a Field

- Programs will be arranged alphabetically and the range of ratings will be given for each
- Ranges overlap for most programs. This means that there may be a number of programs of roughly the same quality
- You should identify those similar "in range" programs in discussing the quality of your programs



#### Results

- The data will help to determine where improvement is needed and which variables to focus on
- Prospective students may elect to use the public NRC database as one source of information as they investigate graduate programs suited to their academic goals and career aspirations



## Handling the media

- Want to ensure there is a single message coming from UNC-Chapel Hill
  - The GS and News Services will take the lead on this
  - There will be a webpage on the GS website devoted to the NRC ratings
  - We will be encouraging all programs to direct people to our website for background information
- We do not know what the message will be until we see the results
- We are asking that you direct reporters to the GS for information
  - Stephanie Schmitt and I will be the point people in the GS

